Guide for preparation of papers

LRRD News

Citation of this paper

Estimation of heritability and repeatability for maternal and milk production traits in New Zealand White rabbits raised in hot climate conditions

M M Iraqi

Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture at Moshtohor, Benha University, Egypt <u>mmiraqi2006@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

This experiment involved 364 records of litter and milk production produced from 127 does of New Zealand White rabbit (NZW) breed to estimate heritability and repeatability for doe, litter and milk yield traits. Doe traits such as doe body weight (DBW) and doe production efficiency (DPE); litter traits such as litter size and weight at birth (LSB and LWB), 21 d (LS21 and LW21) and weaning at 28 d (LSW and LWW) and litter gain weight during the periods of 1-21 d (LGW1-21), 21-28 d (LWG21-28) and 1-28 d (LWG1-28); litter milk efficiency (litter gain / milk intake) during the period of 1-21 d (LME1-21); milk coefficients during the periods of 1-21 d (MC1-21), 21-28 d (MC21-28) and 1-28 d (MC1-28); and milk yield traits (g) of each doe during the periods of 1-21 d (MY1-21), 21-28 d (MY21-21) and 1-28 d (MY1-28) were studied. A repeatability single trait animal model was used to analysis of the data.

Estimates of heritabilities (repeatabilities) for DBW and DPE were 0.0 and 0.09 (0.66 and 0.09), respectively. For LSB, LS21, LSW, LWB, LW21, LWW, LGW1-21, LGW21-28 and LGW1-28 heritabilities (repeatabilities) were 0.04, 0.0, 0.0, 0.05, 0.07, 0.04, 0.0 and 0.6 (0.27, 0.15, 0.15, 0.21, 0.07, 0.08, 0.04, 0.06 and 0.07), respectively. Heritabilities (repeatabilities) of 0.01, 0.11, 0.08, 0.10, 0.0, 0.0 and 0.11 (0.04, 0.15, 0.11, 0.11, 0.09, 0.11, 0.10, 0.11 and 0.11) were obtained for LME1-21, MC1-21, MC21-28, MC1-28, MY1-21, MY21-28 and MY1-28, respectively. From the previous estimates of heritability and repeatability, it is concluded that selection of NZW does for traits of DPE, LWW, MC1-21 and MY1-28 could be efficient to improve these traits in NZW rabbits, while culling strategies of the does for traits of DBW, LSB and LWB could be appropriate to improve litter traits under the Egyptian hot climate conditions.

Keywords: doe litter traits, heritability, permanent environmental effects

Introduction

Doe and litter traits are the most important characters for prolificacy of the rabbit doe and survival rate of litters during suckling period. Litter milk efficiency, milk coefficient and lactation patterns for NZW rabbits were studied by many investigators (El-Maghawry et al 1993; El-Sayiad 1994; Khalil 1994; Nasr 1994 and Khalil et al 2004). Early litter growth and mortality rate in rabbits depend in part on the intrinsic ability of the doe to provide adequate milking ability with

better maternal environment. Milk yield of the doe is the major pronounced postnatal maternal component influencing pre-weaning litter growth in terms of litter size and litter weight (Nasr 1994 and El-Raffa et al 1997).

Litter weight at birth and number of suckling kits both strongly influencing milk production of rabbit doe (El-Maghawry et al 1993 and Pascual et al 1996). With increasing litter weight at birth, milk production increases as a consequence of the uterine induction. Likewise, milk production of does could be increased as the number of suckling kids increased (Bolet et al 1996 and Petersen et al 1996). Ayyat et al (1995) and Lukefahr et al (1996) stated that milk production might be limited by additive gene effects and being positively correlated with litter weight at birth (Lukefahr et al 1983; Khalil 1994 and Petersen et al 1996), permits the assumption that the effect of the number of the assigned kids to the nursing doe on milk production is not independent on the litter weight at birth.

Although, estimates of heritability and repeatability for doe, litter and lactation traits were mostly low and have a broad range among reports, as reviewed by Khalil et al (1986). It could be improved by selection and/or culling strategies (Afifi et al 1989, Lukefahr and Hamilton 1997). Few reports on genetic analysis for doe litter and milk production traits using repeatability animal model are available in the Egyptian literature (Ferraz et al 1992 and Hassan 2005). The aims of this experiment were to estimate heritability, permanent environmental effects and repeatability for doe, litter and lactation traits in New Zealand White rabbits in hot climate conditions using repeatability single trait animal model analysis.

Materials and methods

Animals and management

This experiment was carried out at the Rabbitry of Faculty of Agriculture at Moshtohor, Benha University, Egypt during the period from 2001 to 2003 on New Zealand White (NZW) breed. This breed came from Bank El-Nil rabbitry since 1994. Bucks and does were individually housed in wire cages with standard dimensions arranged in one-tire batteries allocated in rows along the rabbitry with passages suitable for service. Each buck was mated to 4 or 5 does (at 6 month of age).

The does were assigned randomly according to the available numbers. Does were mated in the bucks' cage and lodged individually. Sire-daughter, full and half sib matings were avoided. Each doe was palpated 10 days thereafter to detect pregnancy. Those, which failed to conceive, were returned to the same mating-buck at the day of test. Metal nest boxes were provided at 27 days after fertile mating. Does were remated 10 days after kindling. Weaning of litter was done four weeks after kindling. Breeding animals were fed *ad libitum* on a pelleted rabbit ration containing 17.7 % crude protein, 13 % crude fiber and 2.54 % fat. In winter and early months of spring, berseem (*Trifolium alexandrium*) was supplied at midday. Cages of all animals were cleaned and disinfected regularly before each kindling. All animals were medicated similarly and they were

subjected to the same managerial and climatic conditions throughout the experimental period.

Data and models of analysis

Data collected on 364 litters produced from 127 does fathered by 35 sires and mothered by 66 dams of NZW breed (Table 1).

Table 1. Structure of the data analyzed for New Zealand White rabbits

Item	Numbers	
Sires	35	
Dams	66	
Does	127	
Litters	364	
Total number of animals in the pedigree file	205*	

*This number is less than of the total summing because of some dams represented twice (as a doe and as a dam in the next generation).

Doe traits such as doe body weight (DBW) and doe production efficiency (DPE) computed as litter weaning weight divided to doe body weight at parturition; litter traits such as litter size and weight at birth (LSB and LWB), 21 d (LS21 and LW21) and weaning at 28 d (LSW and LWW); and litter gain weights were computed during the periods of 1-21 d (LGW1-21), 21-28 d (LWG21-28) and 1-28 d (LWG1-28) were studied. Milk yield (g) of each doe were recorded from 1 to 21 d (MY1-21), from 21 to 28 d (MY21-28) and from 1 to 28 d (MY1-28). Milk production was recorded as an average for the weight of both doe and bunnies before and after suckling. Accordingly, the bunnies were separated from their mothers at 15.00 pm, thereafter the bunnies were allowed to suckle at 8.00 am in the next day. The average of the differences between weight of each doe and their bunnies before and after suckling were calculated. Litter milk efficiency (computed as litter gain in grams divided to milk intake in grams) during the period of 1-21 d (LME1-21), as well as milk coefficients [computed as [milk yield in grams/doe body weight at parturition x the period of milk production in days) x 100] during the periods of 1-21 d (MC1-21), 21-28 d (MC21-28) and 1-28 d (MC1-28) were studied.

Data were analyzed using repeatability single trait animal model analysis (Boldman et al 1995). Variances obtained by REML method of VARCOMP procedure (SAS 1996) were used as starting (guessed) values for the estimation of variance components. Analyses were done according to the general model:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{e}$$

where:

y = vector of observations;

X= incidence matrix of fixed effects (parity and year-season); parity (7 levels) and year-season (8 levels);

 Z_1 and Z_2 = incidence matrices corresponding to random effects of additive (a) and permanent environment (p_e, doe effect), respectively;

e = vector of random errors.

Heritability (h^2) and repeatability (t) were computed based on the following equations:

$$h^2 = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_{Pe}^2 + \sigma_e^2} \quad \& \quad t = \frac{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_{Pe}^2}{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_{Pe}^2 + \sigma_e^2}$$

where σ_a^2 , σ_{Pe}^2 and σ_e^2 are the variances due to effects of additive, permanent environment and error, respectively. Repeatability was expressed as the ratio of variances by summing genetic and permanent environmental variances ($\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_{Pe}^2$) to phenotypic variance ($\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_{Pe}^2 + \sigma_e^2$). Standard errors of heritability were computed using MTDFREML procedure (Boldman et al 1995).

Results and discussion

Means

Results in Table 2 show the actual means and coefficient of variations for doe, litter and milk production traits to characterize the NZW rabbit population.

Table 2. Actual means, standard deviations (SD) and coefficients of variation for doe, litter and milk production traits in New Zealand White rabbits

Trait	Mean	SD	CV	Minimum	Maximum
Doe traits ⁺ :					
DBW	3298.2	410.1	12.4	2160	4410
DPE	0.74	0.31	42.2	0.06	2.06
<i>Litter traits</i> ⁺⁺					
LSB	6.74	2.34	34.7	1	14
LS21	5.89	2.20	37.4	1	11
LSW	5.73	2.20	38.4	1	11
LWB	489.6	169.1	34.5	60	980
LW21	1837.0	701.2	38.2	170	3930
LWW	2442.1	1037.2	42.5	180	5300
LGW1-21	1355.9	613.6	45.3	55	3140
LGW21-28	673.7	402.7	59.8	15	1900
LGW1-28	1996.4	931.1	46.6	90	4815
Milk production traits ⁺⁺⁺ :					
LME1-21	0.53	0.23	44.5	0.03	1.97
MC1-21	2.94	1.02	34.7	0.55	6.12
MC21-28	2.10	0.98	46.6	0.02	5.06
MC1-28	3.84	1.36	35.5	0.72	7.53
MY1-21	2692.1	917.4	34.1	490	5618
MY21-28	1925.4	878.1	45.6	540	4410
MY1-28	3514.2	1214.5	34.6	788	7018

⁺ *DBW* and *DPE*= doe body weight and doe production efficiency, respectively.

⁺⁺= LSB, LS21, LSW, LWB, LW21, LWW, LGW1-21, LGW21-28 and LGW1-28= litter size at birth, litter size at 21 d, litter size at weaning, litter weight at birth, litter weight at 21 d, litter weight at weaning, litter gain weight from 1 to 21 d, litter gain weight from 21 to 28 d and litter gain weight from 1 to 28 d, respectively.

⁺⁺⁺ LME1-21, MC1-21, MC21-28, MC1-28, MY1-21, MY21-28 and MY1-28= litter milk efficiency from 1 to 21 d, milk coefficient from 1 to 21 d, milk coefficient from 21 to 28 d, milk coefficient

from 1 to 28 d, milk yield from 1 to 21 d, milk yield from 21 to 28 d and milk yield from 1 to 28 d, respectively

Means of the studied traits in Table 2 are within the ranges reviewed in the Egyptian literature (Afifi et al 1989; El-Maghawry 1999; Khalil and Afifi 2000; Nofal et al 2002; Ramadan 2005).

Coefficients of variability (CV %) ranged from 12.4 to 59.8% for doe and litter traits and from 34.6 to 75.7% for milk production traits. This confirms that these traits in rabbits are subjected to many effects such as genetic make up of the does, non-genetic effects (year-season, parity and management of the herd). These trends are in agreement with the results of El-Maghawary (1999) and Ramadan (2005).

Heritability

Results in Table 3 showed that heritability (h^2) estimates for doe traits in NZW were 0.0 for DBW and 0.09 for DPE.

Table 3.	Estimates of heritability	y, permanent and error effects,	and repeatability (t) estimates for
doe, litter	and milk production tra	aits in New Zealand White rab	bits

Trait	h ² ±s.e	c ² ±s.e	e ² ±s.e	Repeatability (t)
Doe traits ⁺ :				
DBW	0.00 ± 0.001	0.66 ± 0.02	0.34 ± 0.02	0.66
DPE	0.09 ± 0.092	0.00 ± 0.09	0.91±0.06	0.09
Litter traits ⁺⁺				
LSB	0.04 ± 0.095	0.23±0.11	0.73 ± 0.06	0.27
LS21	0.00 ± 0.07	0.15 ± 0.09	0.85 ± 0.06	0.15
LSW	0.00 ± 0.06	0.15 ± 0.09	0.85 ± 0.06	0.15
LWB	0.00 ± 0.06	0.21±0.09	0.79 ± 0.06	0.21
LW21	$0.05 {\pm} 0.001$	0.02 ± 0.001	0.93 ± 0.001	0.07
LWW	$0.07 {\pm} 0.001$	0.01 ± 0.001	0.91 ± 0.001	0.08
LGW1-21	0.04 ± 0.001	0.00 ± 0.001	0.96 ± 0.001	0.04
LGW21-28	0.00 ± 0.038	0.01 ± 0.001	0.99 ± 0.38	0.01
LGW1-28	0.06 ± 0.001	0.01 ± 0.001	0.93 ± 0.001	0.07
Milk production traits ⁺⁺⁺ :				
LME1-21	0.01 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.08	0.96 ± 0.06	0.04
MC1-21	0.11±0.09	0.00 ± 0.09	0.89 ± 0.06	0.11
MC21-28	0.08 ± 0.09	0.01 ± 0.09	0.91±0.06	0.09
MC1-28	$0.10{\pm}0.09$	0.01 ± 0.09	0.89 ± 0.001	0.11
MY1-21	0.00 ± 0.001	0.10 ± 0.001	0.90 ± 0.001	0.10
MY21-28	0.00 ± 0.001	0.11 ± 0.001	0.89 ± 0.001	0.11
MY1-28	0.11 ± 0.001	0.00 ± 0.001	0.89 ± 0.001	0.11

⁺, ⁺⁺ and ⁺⁺⁺ traits as defined in Table 2

Zero estimate of h^2 for DBW may be due to higher permanent environmental effects (66%) on this trait (Table 3). But, estimate of h^2 for DPE could be used as selection criteria to improve doe trait in NZW rabbit in this population. Lukefahr and Hamilton (1997) found that h^2 was 0.07 for DPE and 0.53 for DBW when

used pooled data collected on purebreds of Californian and New Zealand White rabbits breeds.

Estimates of h^2 for litter traits were low and ranged from 0.0 to 0.04 for litter size traits, from 0.0 to 0.07 for litter weight traits and from 0.0 to 0.06 for litter gain traits. Low estimates of h^2 for these traits also may be due to higher permanent environment and/or non-additive genetic effects than additive effects for all litter size traits, LWB and LGW21-28 (Table 3) (El-Maghawry 1997). It is concluded that permanent environment and non-genetic effects plays a large role in litter size traits in rabbits. Low estimate of h^2 for litter size and weight traits ranging from 0.0 to 0.13 were also obtained by Rollins et al (1963), Johnson et al (1988), Baselga et al (1992), Ferraz et al (1992), Panella et al (1992), Khalil (1994), Ayyat et al (1995), Lukefahr et al (1996), Lukefahr and Hamilton (1997) Baselga and Garcia (2002) and Nofal et al (2002). However, Blasco et al (1993) reported that moderate estimates of h^2 (0.2< h^2 <0.3) for the components of litter size (i.e., ovulation rate and number of embryos) are encouraging. El- Maghawry (1999) showed low heritabilities of 0.14, 0.12 and 0.13 for daily gain weight (g) per litter during the periods of 1-21 d, 1-28 d and 21-28 d, respectively. Ferraz et al (1992) reported that the contribution of the permanent environmental effect of the doe was moderate for litter traits (ranging from 1.5 to 17.5%). Based on the highest estimates of h² for traits of LW21, LWW and LGW1-28 compared to the other traits in this study, it is could be encouraging factor the rabbit breeders to improve litter traits by selection based on predicted breeding values for LWW trait.

Estimates of h² for milk production traits in Table 3 are small (0.01 for LME1-21) and ranged from 0.08 to 0.11 for milk coefficient and from 0.0 to 0.11 for milk yield traits. It is showed also that milk coefficients during different intervals were, generally, higher than the other milk production traits. Lukefahr et al (1996) found the same estimate of h² (0.11) for total milk yield based on multiple parity in pooled data for two purebreds of Californian and NZW and the two reciprocals. Ayyat et al (1995) reported heritability of 0.04 for 1-4 week total milk yield (adjusted for litter size at birth). However, they found that 1st, 2nd and 3rd week, heritabilities for milk yield ranged from 0.09 to 0.22. El-Maghawry et al (1993) studying similar milk production traits, obtained heritabilities ranged from 0.09 to 0.26. Al-Sobayil et al (2005) found heritabilities for milk yield traits were moderate, ranging from 0.18 to 0.22 when pooled data collected on V-line and Saudi rabbits and all crosses between them. From this experiment, one could conclude that reasonable genetic progress can be obtained by selection for milk production traits, except for LME1-21, MY1-21 and MY21-28.

Permanent environmental effects

Permanent environmental effects given in Table 3 were low and moderate (ranging from 0.0 to 0.23) for all the studied traits, except for DBW which have the highest (0.66) effect of permanent environment. There are many traits have higher effects of permanent than additive effects. These traits are DBW, LSB, LS21, LSW, LWB, LGW21-28, LME1-21, MY1-21 and MY21-28 (Table 3). Therefore, the permanent environmental effects should be considered when studying the doe, litter and milk production traits. Similar results were obtained by El-Maghawry (1997) and Lukefahr and Hamilton (1997).

Repeatability

Estimates of repeatability for all the studied traits given in Table 3 tended to be low to moderate in magnitude (range between 0.04 to 0.27), except for DBW which was highly repeatable (0.66), because it was highly non additive genetic and permanent environmental effects. Lukefahr and Hamilton (1997) previously reported the value of repeatability was 0.72 for this trait. They added that permanent sources of variation were important for doe body weight and also for litter weaning weight. In this study, repeatability estimates of litter size traits were ranged from 0.15 to 0.27. These estimates are within the range of 0.001 to 0.26 reported in the literature (Garcia et al 1982; Baselga et al 1992; Khalil 1994; Lukefahr and Hamilton 1997). Khalil (1994) and Iraqi and Youssef (2006) found that repeatability estimates for lactation traits were low and ranged from 0.002 to 0.189. Because of low repeatability for most traits, it is very advantageous to consider more litters before selecting a doe for these traits. Therefore, culling of does for these traits based on a single production record would not be efficient from a genetic standpoint and consequently assessment of several parities before selecting does for these traits (Khalil and Mansour 1987 and Khalil 1994).

Another point of view, heritability and repeatability estimates for DPE, LGW1-21, MC1-21 and MY1-28 traits were the same, suggesting the absence of nonadditive and permanent environmental effects. However, these permanent sources of variation were important for these traits (Lukefahr and Hamilton 1997).

Conclusions

- In spite of estimates of heritability for traits of DPE, LWW, MC1-21 and MY1-28 were low, but there are the highest compared with the other studied traits. Therefore, selection of NZW does could be efficient to improve these traits under the Egyptian hot climate conditions.
- Traits of doe body weight, litter size and weight at birth could be improved by culling strategies of does, because it had the highest repeatability estimates compared to other the studied traits (ranged from 0.21 to 0.66).
- Although, the permanent environmental effects in this study were somewhat low for most the studied traits, but it were higher than additive effects. Therefore, this effect could be considered in repeatability animal model analysis to obtain more accurate estimates of additive variance.

References

Afifi E A, Khalil M H and Emara, M E 1989 Effects of maternal performance and litter preweaning traits in doe rabbits. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics 106: 358-362

Al-Sobayil K A, Al-Homidan A H, Khalil M K, Mehaia M M 2005 Heritabilities and genetic analysis of milk yield and components in crossing project of Saudi rabbits with Spanish V-line. Livestock Research for Rural Development 17 (10) http://www.cipav.org.co/lrrd/lrrd17/10/soba17117.htm

Ayyat M S, Marai I F M, El-Sayaid G H A 1995 Genetic and non-genetic factors affecting milk production and preweaning litter traits of New Zealand White does under Egyptian conditions. World Rabbit Science 3: 119-124

Baselga M and Garcia M L 2002 Evaluating the response to selection in meat rabbit programmes. 3rd Science Congress On Rabbit Production in hot climates, 8-11 Oct: 1-10-2002

Baselga M, Gomez e, Cifre P and Camacho J 1992 Genetic diversity of litter size traits between parities in rabbits. In: Proc. 5th World Rabbit Congr., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, July 1992, Volume A 198-205

Blasco A, Stantacreu M A, Thompson R and Haley C S 1993 Estimates of genetic parameters for ovulation rate, prental survival and litter size in rabbits from an elliptical selection experiment. Livestock Production Science 34: 163-174

Boldman K G, Kriese A, Van Vleck L D, Van Tassell C P and Kachman S D 1995 A manual for use of MTDFREML. A set of programs to obtain estimates of variances and covariances [DRAFT]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, USA.

Bolet G, Esparbie J and Falieèes J 1996 Relations entre nombre de foetus par corne uterine, la taille de portee a la naissance et la croissance ponderale des lapereaux. Annales de Zootechnie 45:186-200

http://animres.edpsciences.org/index.php?option=article&access=standard&Itemid=129&url=/articles/animres/pdf/1996/02/Ann.Zootech._0003-424X_1996_45_2_ART0007.pdf

El-Maghawry A M 1997 Evaluation of the performance of three foreign rabbit breeds under Egyptian conditions. 2. litter size and related traits. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science 7(2): 95-106

El-Maghawry A M 1999 Genetic effects on some doe productivity in New Zealand White and Californian raised in Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science 9 (2): 179-195

El-Maghawry A M, Soliman A M and Khalil H H 1993 Doe milk production as affected by some genetic and environmental factors in New Zealand White and Californian rabbits under the Egyptian conditions. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science 3 (2): 141-150

El-Raffa A M, Shebl M K, Kosba M A and Khalil M H 1997 Sire and dam transmitting abilities for litter size traits in three lines of rabbits raised in high intensive system of production. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science 7 (2): 67-79

El-Sayiad G H A 1994 A study on milk production of New Zealand White and Californian rabbits under Egyptian conditions. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science 4 (1): 47-59

Ferraz J B S, Johnson R K and Van Vleck L D 1992 Estimation of genetic trends and genetic parameters for reproductive and growth traits of rabbits raised in subtropics with animal model. Journal of Applied Rabbit Research 15: 131-142

Garcia F, Baslega M, Blasco A and Deltoro J 1982 Genetic analysis of some productive traits in meat rabbits. I. Numeric traits. In: Proc 2nd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Madrid, Spain, October 1982, Volume 7: 557-562

Hassan N S 2005 Animal model evaluation and some genetic parameters of milk production in New Zealand White and Baladi Black Rabbits using DF-REML Procedure. The 4th International Conference On Rabbit Production In Hot Climates, Sharm El-Sheikh, 24-27 Feb. 2005 Egypt, 55-64

Iraqi M M and Youssef Y M K 2006 Genetic analysis for milk production traits in New Zealand White rabbits raised in Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science 16(1):1-13

Johnson Z B, Harris D J and Brown C J 1988 Genetic analysis of litter size, mortality and growth traits of New Zealand White rabbits. Animal Production Science, 4 (2): 11-16

Khalil M H 1994 Lactational performance of Giza White rabbits and its relation with per-weaning litter traits. Animal Production 59(1): 141-145.

Khalil M H and Afifi E A 2000 Heterosis, maternal and direct additive effects for litter performance and post weaning growth Gabali rabbits and their F_1 crosses with New Zealand White. 7th World Rabbit Congress.4-7 July 2000 Valencia. Spain pp 431-437

Khalil M H and Mansour H 1987 Factors affecting reproductive performance of female rabbits. Journal of Applied Rabbit Research 10: 140-145

Khalil M H, Mehaia M A, Al-Homidan A H and Al-Sobayil K A 2004 Genetic analysis for milk yield and components and milk conversion ratio in crossing of Saudi rabbits with V-line. The 8th World Rabbit Congress. 7-10 September 2004, Puebla, Mexico.

Khalil M H, Owen J B and Afifi E A 1986 A review of phenotypic and genetic parameters associated with meat production traits in rabbits. Animal Breeding Abstracts 54 (9): 725-749

Lukefahr S D, Cheek P R and Patton N M 1996 Heritability of milk production and 21-day litter weight and litter size in purebred and crossbred rabbits using an animal model. In: Proceedings of the 6th World Rabbit Congress, Toulouse, France, July 1996, Volume 2: 319 – 323

Lukefahr S D and Hamilton H H 1997 Heritability and repeatability estimates of maternal performance traits in purebred and crossbred does. World Rabbit Science 5 (3): 99-105

Lukefahr S, Hohenboken W D, Cheeke P R and Patton N M 1983 Characterization of straightbred and crossbred rabbits for milk production and associative traits. Journal of Animal Science 57(5):1100-1107 <u>http://jas.fass.org/cgi/reprint/57/5/1100.pdf</u>

Nasr A S 1994 Milk yield and some associated traits as affected by season of kindling, parity, and kindling intervals in New Zealand White doe rabbits under Egyptian conditions. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science 4 (2): 149-159

Nofal R Y, Abdel-Ghany A M and Saleh K 2002 Best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) on some litter traits and Muscularity rate of New Zealand White rabbits under Egyptian conditions. 3rd Science Congress On Rabbit Production in hot climates, 8-11 Oct: 127-137

Panella F, Battaglini M, Castellini C, Rosati A, and Facchin E 1992 Comparison between two genetic evaluation indexes in rabbits. In: Proceedings of the. 5th World Rabbit Congress, Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, July 1992, Volume A 190-197

Pascual J J, Cervera C, Blas E and Fernandez-Carmona J 1996 Milk yield and composition in rabbit does using high fat diets. 6th World rabbit Congress, Toulouse, France, Volume 1:259-262

Petersen J, Hartmann J and Mennicken L 1996 Effects of prenatal on postnatal performance of does. 6th World rabbit Congress, Toulouse, France, 9-12 July. Volume 2:103-106

Ramadan S I A 2005 A study on the performance of rabbit doe for some litters, reproduction and milk production traits. M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Banha University, Egypt.

Rollins W C, Casady R B, Sittmann K and Sittmann D B 1963 Genetic variance component analysis of litter size and weaning weight on New Zealand White rabbits. Journal of Animal Science 22: 654-657 <u>http://jas.fass.org/cgi/reprint/22/3/654.pdf</u>

SAS 1996 SAS Procedure Guide. "Version 6.12 Edition" SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

Received 3 March 2008; Accepted 9 July 2008; Published 5 August 2008

Go to top